

Dear Jerry,

7/1/95

In my haste to get back to my Stormin' Norman last evening I do not remember whether I enclosed the enclosed add to Waketh or not and - realized after I was abed that I had forgotten to respond to your question of 6/23 on which would be the best source for ^{media} institutional failure. I suppose on book publishing, WWI and on JAMA NA!

but perhaps because it hold so much new medical-investigation data, new to the media at least, perhaps ^{ING} not know the rest of your paper I feel unable to really respond. Well, in a sense because your presentation will be in August, given the record, that NA! is entirely ignored, perhaps the documentation of the beginning, of that conspiracy not to investigate ~~the~~ crime, would be more dramatic. I can give you copies of the documents if you want them. They will still be new if there is no press conference or if it does nothing.

I'm glad you think of NA! as you do. Jerry has offered a similar appraisal. So has Dal McGuirk.

Day before yesterday we were visited by the Pittsburgh Post Gazette book editor. He'd phoned first. He came to do a story on me. I tried to switch him to NA! but did not succeed. Perhaps he'll also do a review. This and the coming of his photog. He was en route to a convention two days earlier were major time-takers but after I finish this I'll get back to Hailer. I'm near the end of what I'll say about the book. But based on what he said that I've used I've a peg to hang Senator Russell Dissents on and all along I planned to add what was removed from Case Open, on the evidence. I think I've written more than 100,000 words. From what a student retyping what I wrote about Neuman on her computer told me this Hailer should run perhaps 800 pages retyped. How I wish I knew more about publishing and who hates who and who is not afraid of the Neuman/Randon empire! This could be a book they'd love.

We are about as well as we can expect to be, thanks. and we look forward to seeing both of you in August.

Best,

Hardy